

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)

3 davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)

4 melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com

John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)

5 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com

Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)

6 jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor

7 San Francisco, California 94111-4788

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

8 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 WAYMO LLC,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
16 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING  
LLC,

17 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ITS  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT  
OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION AND SUPPORTING  
EXHIBITS THERETO**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) respectfully submits this revised administrative motion to file under seal confidential information in portions of its Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Supporting Exhibits Thereto. Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Waymo’s Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction	Highlighted Portions	Waymo (green highlighting); Defendants (blue highlighting)
Exhibit 132 to the Declaration of Jordan Jaffe (“Jaffe Decl.”), filed concurrently herewith.	Highlighted Portions	Waymo (green highlighting); Defendants (blue highlighting)
Exhibit 133 to the Jaffe Decl.	Entire Document	Waymo
Exhibit 134 to the Jaffe Decl.	Entire Document	Defendants

#### **I. LEGAL STANDARD**

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing “establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law” (*i.e.*, is “sealable”). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also “be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material.” *Id.* In the context of non-dispositive motions, materials may be sealed so long as the party seeking sealing makes a “particularized showing” under the “good cause” standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). *Kamkana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)). Both the California Uniform Trade Secrets Act and the Defense Trade Secrets Act provide for maintaining the confidentiality of alleged trade secrets. Cal. Civ. Code § 3426.5 (“In an action under this title, a court shall preserve the secrecy of an alleged trade secret by reasonable means, which may include . . . sealing the records of the action[.]”); 18 U.S.C. § 1835(a) (“[T]he court shall enter such orders and take such other action as may be necessary and appropriate to preserve the confidentiality of trade secrets[.]”).

## 1 **II. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO’S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

2 The Court should seal the portions of Waymo’s Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for  
 3 Preliminary Injunction (portions highlighted in green), and Jaffe Decl. Exhibits 132-133 identified by  
 4 Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses  
 5 Waymo’s trade secrets and confidential business information. *See* Declaration of Lindsay Cooper  
 6 (“Cooper Decl.”), ¶¶ 3-4. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music*  
 7 *Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14–cv–03078–JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at \*1  
 8 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc’ns Sys.,*  
 9 *Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at \*1, \*3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013)  
 10 (granting request to seal document that “consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade’s trade secrets.”).  
 11 Confidential business information that, if released, may “harm a litigant’s competitive standing” also  
 12 merits sealing. *See Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to  
 13 seal confidential business information and trade secret information that fit squarely within these  
 14 categories. Cooper Decl. ¶¶ 3-5. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (*see* Dkt. 25-31)  
 15 and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (*see* Dkt. 25-47;  
 16 Dkt. 25-49.). *See* Cooper Decl. ¶ 4. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information  
 17 meriting sealing. *Id.* ¶ 5. In fact, both *Music Grp.* and *Brocade* found the confidential information at  
 18 issue in those cases met the heightened “compelling reasons” standard for sealing. *Music Grp.*, 2015  
 19 WL 3993147, at \*1; *Brocade*, 2013 WL 211115, at \*1, \*3. The information that Waymo seeks to  
 20 seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo’s trade secret and  
 21 confidential business information would harm Waymo (Cooper Decl. ¶ 4), and, thus, the Court should  
 22 grant Waymo’s administrative motion to seal.

## 23 **III. DEFENDANTS’ CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

24 For the purposes of this revised administrative motion to seal, Waymo is not taking a position  
 25 on any of Defendants’ confidential information. Although Defendants may revise certain redactions  
 26 to previously-filed documents, Waymo expects Defendants to file a declaration in accordance with the  
 27 Local Rules to support sealing the relevant portions of the record that Defendants intend to keep under  
 28 seal.

1 **IV. CONCLUSION**

2 In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the above  
3 listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons, Waymo  
4 respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's administrative motion to file under seal.  
5

6 DATED: May 8, 2017

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN,  
LLP

7  
8 By /s/ David Perlson

David Perlson

9 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28